UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,182	05/24/2006	Simon Doclo	22409-00388-US	8012
30678 7590 02/17/2009 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1875 EYE STREET, N.W. SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			PAUL, DISLER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,182	DOCLO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DISLER PAUL	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-7; 9-11; 13-21 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7; 9-11; 13-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. Or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/564,182 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9, 14-16, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being Unpatentable over Yang et al. (US 7,206,418 B2) and Hui (US 6,999,541 B1).

Re claim 1, Yang et al. disclose of the method of reducing noise in a noisy speech signal, comprising: the steps of receiving at least two versions of said noisy speech signal at a first filter, outputting by said first filter a speech reference signal comprising a desired signal and a noise contribution (fig.2(212); 3A; col.2 line 35-40, col.5 line 8-20/wt plurality of microphone to enable) and at least one noise reference signal comprising a speech leakage contribution and a noise contribution (fig.2,3B; col.5 line 25-37/mostly noise with some speech leakage), applying a filtering operation to each of said at least one noise reference signals, and subtracting from said speech reference signal of said filtered at least one noise reference signal to provide an output version of said speech signal having reduced noise therein (fig.4 wt (450,434); col.9 line 44-49; col.10 line 5-19).

However, Yang et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein said filtering operation of said at least one noise reference signal is performed with one of more filters having filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the speech distortion energy and the

Application/Control Number: 10/564,182

Art Unit: 2614

residual noise energy in said output version of said speech signal, said speech distortion energy being the energy of said speech leakage contributions and said residual noise energy being the energy of said noise contributions in said speech reference signal and in said at least one noise reference signal.

Page 3

But, Hui disclose of a noise cancellation system wherein said filtering operation of said at least one noise reference signal is performed with one or more filters having filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the speech distortion energy and the residual noise energy in said output version of said speech signal, said speech distortion energy being the energy of said speech leakage contributions and said residual noise energy being the energy of said noise contributions in said speech reference signal and in said at least one noise reference signal (fig.8-9; col.11 line 1-35; col.12 line 15-60; col.14 lien 5-25/weighing coefficient to remove target and noise from channels based on the adaptive filter of the difference/reference channels). Thus, taking the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Yang et al. with the filtering operation of said at least one noise reference signal is performed with one of more filters having filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the speech distortion energy and the residual noise energy in said output version of said speech signal, said speech distortion energy being the energy of said speech leakage contributions and said residual noise energy being the energy of said noise contributions in said speech reference signal and in said at least one noise reference signal for improving the noise cancellation in the presence of leakage of wanted signals in the channels.

Re claim 2, the of claim 1, wherein at least two microphones are provided, and wherein the method further comprises: receiving said speech signal at said at least two microphones and providing to said filter a version of said speech signal from each of said at least two microphones (fig.3B, col.5 line 22-27/plurality of microphones to receive speech signal).

Re claim 5, the method of claim 1, further comprising the delaying said speech reference signal before performing said subtraction of said filtered at least one noise reference signal from said speech reference signal (fig.3A wt (214A); col.6 line 30-32/wt delaying included).

Re claim 6, the method of claim 1, further comprising: applying a filtering operation to said speech reference signal; and subtracting said filtered speech reference signal and said at least one noise reference signal from said speech reference signal to provide said output version of said speech reference signal (fig.4 wt (432,434)).

Re claim 7, the method of claim 1, further comprising: adapting said filter coefficients so to take into account one or more of said speech leakage contribution and said desired signal (Hui, fig.8-9; col.11 line 1-35; col.12 line 15-60; col.14 line 5-25/weighing coefficient to remove leakage contribution from channels based on the adaptive filter of the difference/reference channels)

Re claim 9, Yang et al. disclose of the signal processor for reducing noise in a speech signal, comprising: a first filter configured to receive two versions of said speech signal, and to

output a speech reference signal and at least one noise reference signal, wherein said speech reference signal comprises a desired signal and a noise contribution, and wherein said at least one noise reference signal comprises a speech leakage contribution and a noise contribution (fig.2-3 wt (214); col.2 line 35-40, col.5 line 8-20/speech signal with noise and noise signal with some speech leakage from plurality of microphones), a second filter configured to filter said at least one noise reference signal (fig.4 wt (450); col.9 line 44-49; col.10 line 5-19), and a summer configured to subtract said at least one filtered noise reference signal and from said speech reference signal to provide an output version of said speech signal having reduced noise therein (fig.4 wt (434); col.9 line 35-45).

However, Yang et al. fail to disclose of the specific wherein said second filter has filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the energy of said speech leakage contribution and the energy of said noise contributions in said output version of said speech signal.

But, Hui disclose of a noise cancellation system wherein said second filter has filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the energy of said speech leakage contribution and the energy of said noise contributions in said output version of said speech signal (fig.8-9; col.11 line 1-35; col.12 line 15-60; col.14 line 5-25/weighing coefficient to remove leakage and noise from channels based on the adaptive filter of the difference/reference channels). Thus, taking the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Yang et al. with the wherein said second filter has filter coefficients configured to minimize a weighted sum of the energy of said speech leakage contribution and the energy of said noise contributions in said

Art Unit: 2614

output version of said speech signal for improving the noise cancellation in the presence of leakage of wanted signals in the channels.

Re claim 14, the signal processor of claim 9, wherein said second filter is further configured to filter said speech reference signal, and wherein said summer is configured to subtract said filtered speech reference signal and said at least one filtered noise reference signal from said speech reference signal to provide said output version of said speech signal (fig.4 wt (434); col.9 line 35-45).

Re claim 15, the signal processor of claim 9, wherein said filter coefficients are adaptive so as to take in to account one or more of said speech leakage contribution and said desired signal (Hui, fig.8-9; col.11 line 1-35; col.12 line 15-60; col.14 line 5-25/weighing coefficient to remove leakage contribution).

Re claim 16, 19-21 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 9, 5-7.

2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (US 7,206,418 B2) and Hui (US 6,999,541 B1) and further in view of Marsh (US 6,178,248 B1).

Re claim 13, the signal processor of claim 9, However, the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, fail to disclose of the signal processing circuit is implanted in a prosthetic hearing device. However, Marash disclose of an adaptive & beamforming system

Application/Control Number: 10/564,182

Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

wherein the signal processing circuit is implanted in a prosthetic hearing device (col.1 line 11-16; col.2 line 20-29) for the purpose of providing improved intelligibility to the hearer and compensating for background noise. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui and Marash as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, by incorporating the adaptive & beamforming system wherein the signal processing circuit is implanted in a prosthetic hearing device for the purpose of providing improved intelligibility to the hearer and compensating for background noise.

3. Claim 3-4, 10-11, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. (US 7,206,418 B2) and Hui (US 6,999,541 B1) and further in view of Hoshuyama (US 6,449,586 B1).

Re claim 3, the method of claim 1, wherein said first filter is a spatial pre-processor filter comprising: a beamformer filter and a blocking filter (fig.2 wt (214a, 214b)), However, the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific wherein the blocking filter being the specific of the blocking matrix filter. However, Hoshuyama disclose of a beamforming adaptive enhancing signal wherein the blocking filter being the specific of the blocking matrix filter (fig.24-27,35; col.5 line 62-65) for the purpose of processing group of signals. thus, taking the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui and Hoshuyama as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modify the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, by incorporating the

Art Unit: 2614

beamforming adaptive enhancing signal wherein the blocking filter being the specific of the blocking matrix filter for the purpose of processing group of signals.

Re claim 4, the method of claim 3, further comprising: outputting by said beamformer filter said speech reference signal; and outputting by said blocking matrix filter said at least one noise reference signal (col.5 line 8-39).

Re claim 10, the signal processor of claim 9, wherein said first filter is a spatial preprocessor filter, comprising a beamformer filter and a blocking filter (fig.2 wt (214a,214b)),
However, the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui as a whole, fail to disclose of the
specific wherein the blocking filter being the specific of the blocking matrix filter. However,
Hoshuyama disclose of a beamforming adaptive enhancing signal wherein the blocking filter
being the specific of the blocking matrix filter (fig.24-27,35; col.5 line 62-65) for the purpose of
processing group of signals. thus, taking the combined teaching of Yang et al. and Hui and
Hoshuyama as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art at the
time of the invention to have modify Yang et al. by incorporating the beamforming adaptive
enhancing signal wherein the blocking filter being the specific of the blocking matrix filter for the
purpose of processing group of signals.

Re claim 11, the signal processor of claim 10, wherein said beamformer filter is a delayand-sum beamformer (fig.3A).

Re claims 17-18 have been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 3-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,182 Page 9

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Disler Paul whose telephone number is 571-270-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614